

SL

OFFICE MEMO  
STD. 100 (REV. 11-75)

RECEIVED DATE June 23, 1982

DIVISION OF OIL & GAS  
SACRAMENTO

TO:

Si Cordova

JUN 25 11 32 AM '82

ROOM NUMBER

FROM:

David Mitchell  
(District 4)

PHONE NUMBER  
(805) 322-4031

SUBJECT:

Supplement to Application for UIC- Primacy

Dear Mr. Cordova,

Attached is the information requested in your letter of May 14 concerning additional reservoir and water usage data for non-hydrocarbon producing zones currently undergoing injection.

Also attached is data for the Santa Margarita Zone in Poso Creek which was inadvertently overlooked in our original application. I apologize for the oversight and hope that this zone can be submitted and considered for exemption by the EPA. I have discussed this matter with Bob Reid earlier this month and he suggested I follow this path of action.

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact me.

Sincerely, David Mitchell

SUPPLEMENT TO APPLICATION FOR CLASS II PRIMACY

NON-HYDROCARBON PRODUCING INJECTION ZONES -- DISTRICT 4

1. Field Poso Creek
  2. Zone Santa Margarita
  3. Depth to top of zone 2635'
  4. Thickness 500'
  5. Areal Extent entire field, fault-bounded on East
  6. TDS of zone 3969 - 4950 ppm TDS (from E-log calculations)
  7. TDS of Injection Fluid 925 - 3780 ppm TDS
  8. Are Injection Fluids other than Produced Water? No
  9. Date Injection Began 6/72
  10. Miscellaneous Information Zone is hydrocarbon bearing and has been tested for production, but it is not currently economical.
  11. Drinking Water Aquifer Declaration is not a source
  12. Depth Fm: 2635'  
WW: 1613'
  13. Distance to Towns 4 miles to Bakersfield
  14. Land Ownership Agriculture
  15. Alternate Water Source --
  16. Unusual Geology N-S trending fault acts as permeability barrier to the east

---

  17. Formation TDS (see #6 above)
  18. Yield of Water 10 - 12%
-

SUPPLEMENT TO APPLICATION FOR CLASS II PRIMACY  
NON-HYDROCARBON PRODUCING INJECTION ZONES -- DISTRICT 4

1. Field      Poso Creek
2. Zone        Santa Margarita
3. Depth to top of zone      2635'
4. Thickness      500'
5. Areal Extent      entire field, fault-bounded on East
6. TDS of zone      3969 - 4950 ppm TDS (from E-log calculations)
7. TDS of Injection Fluid      925 - 3780 ppm TDS
8. Are Injection Fluids other than Produced Water?      No
9. Date Injection Began      6/72
10. Miscellaneous Information      Zone is hydrocarbon bearing and has been tested for production, but it is not currently economical.
11. Drinking Water Aquifer Declaration      is not a source
12. Depth      Fm: 2635'  
                    WW: 1613'
13. Distance to Towns      4 miles to Bakersfield
14. Land Ownership      Agriculture
15. Alternate Water Source      --
16. Unusual Geology      N-S trending fault acts as permeability barrier to the east
17. Formation TDS      (see #6 above)
18. Yield of Water      10 - 12%

Bob:

Nate would like  
copies of a couple  
of letters when  
I we have told  
operators to cease  
and desist a project.  
Recent Santo Paula  
Formal Order in  
Tosco - Placerita  
disposal project is  
one we could use.  
ym

1. Canoga Oil Company pleaded guilty to violating Sections 3203 and 3204, PRC (failure to file notice to drill and failure to file bond). The firm was fined \$100 on each count, with half of fine suspended. 6/19/51.
2. Essanay Oil Company, Ltd. was found guilty of violating Section 3222, PRC, failure of demonstrating water shutoff test. Mr. Hubert Allen of Essanay Oil Company was ordered to pay a fine of \$250 or serve 50 days in the county jail. Probation was granted for a period of one year. 6/2/42.
3. Crest Petroleum Company was found guilty of violating Sections 3220 and 3236, PRC, failure to cement water-tight casing and refusal to open records to inspection by Division. Frank Argood of Crest Petroleum was fined \$100 on each count and T. W. Cook of Crest Petroleum was fined \$100 on each count. 6/27/41.
4. Southern Petroleum Company and A. V. Sullivan were found guilty of failure to comply to a formal order to do remedial work. Mr. Sullivan was fined \$100 with \$50 suspended. 3/31/42.

1. Canoga Oil Company pleaded guilty to violating Sections 3203 and 3204, PRC (failure to file notice to drill and failure to file bond). The firm was fined \$100 on each count, with half of fine suspended. 6/19/51.
2. Essanay Oil Company, Ltd. was found guilty of violating Section 3222, PRC, failure of demonstrating water shutoff test. Mr. Hubert Allen of Essanay Oil Company was ordered to pay a fine of \$250 or serve 50 days in the county jail. Probation was granted for a period of one year. 6/2/42.
3. Crest Petroleum Company was found guilty of violating Sections 3220 and 3236, PRC, failure to cement water-tight casing and refusal to open records to inspection by Division. Frank Argood of Crest Petroleum was fined \$100 on each count and T. W. Cook of Crest Petroleum was fined \$100 on each count. 6/27/41.
4. Southern Petroleum Company and A. V. Sullivan were found guilty of failure to comply to a formal order to do remedial work. Mr. Sullivan was fined \$100 with \$50 suspended. 3/31/42.

RECEIVED  
DIVISION OF OIL & GAS  
SACRAMENTO

APR 9 2 19 PM '82  
2

Underground Injection Control Program  
Memorandum of Agreement  
Between  
California Division of Oil and Gas  
and  
the United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Region IX

I. General

This Memorandum of Agreement ("Agreement") establishes the responsibilities of and the procedures to be used by the Division of Oil and Gas ("Division") and the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in administration of wells in the Class II portion ("Class II program") of the Underground Injection Control ("UIC") program in California. In general, this Agreement supplements the program described in the demonstration submitted in accordance with Section 1425(a) of the Safe Drinking Water Act ("1425 demonstration").

After it is signed by the Supervisor and the Regional Administrator, this Agreement shall become effective on the date notice of the Class II program approval is published in the Federal Register. The parties will review this Agreement at least once each year during preparation of the annual program update, during the State-EPA agreement ("SEA") process or at other times as appropriate (e.g. at mid-year review). The annual SEA shall be consistent with this Agreement and may not override this Agreement.

This Agreement may be modified upon the initiative of either party in order to ensure consistency with State or Federal statutory or regulatory modifications or supplements, or for any other purpose mutually agreed upon. Any such modifications or supplements must be in writing and must be signed by the Supervisor and Regional Administrator.

This Agreement shall remain in effect unless EPA determines that the Division's 1425 demonstration is no longer valid. Such a determination by EPA will be in accordance with Section 1425(c) of the Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA").

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to alter any requirements of SDWA or to restrict EPA's authority to fulfill its oversight and enforcement responsibilities under SDWA or other Federal laws, or to restrict the Division's authority to fulfill its responsibilities under State statutes. Nothing in this Agreement shall require or be construed to require EPA to violate Federal law or the Division to violate State law.

II.

A. Policy Statement

The purpose of the UIC program is to prevent any underground injection that endangers an underground source of drinking water ("USDW").

The Division has primary responsibility and authority over all Class II injection wells in the State of California. This includes Class II wells drilled and operated on Federally owned lands, but does not include such wells on Indian lands. The Division is responsible for administering the Class II program including but not limited to reports, permits, monitoring and enforcement actions. Implementation of the Class II program will be as described in the 1425 demonstration and will be supported by an appropriate level of staff and resources.

The Supervisor and the Regional Administrator agree to maintain a high level of cooperation and coordination between Division and EPA staff to assure successful and effective administration of the Class II program.

The Division shall promptly inform EPA of any proposed or pending modifications to laws, regulations, or guidelines, and any judicial decisions or administrative actions that might affect the program and the Division's authority to administer the program. The Division shall promptly inform EPA of any resource allocation changes (e.g. personnel, budget, equipment) that might affect its ability to administer the program.

EPA shall promptly notify the Division of the issuance, content, and meaning of Federal statutes, regulations, guidelines, standards, judicial decisions, policy decisions, directives, and other factors (including budgetary changes) that might affect the Class II program.

## B. Information Sharing

### 1. Division

The Division agrees that all information and records obtained or used in the administration of the Class II program including all UIC permit files shall be available for inspection by EPA or its authorized representative upon request. Division records may be copied by the EPA only when they are required by EPA to bring an enforcement action or for other such specific purpose. Any information obtained from the Division by EPA that is subject to a claim of confidentiality shall be treated by EPA in accordance with EPA regulations governing confidentiality (40 CFR Part 2 and 40 CFR 122.19).

The Division shall retain records used in the administration of the program for at least three years (40 CFR 30 and 40 CFR 35). If an enforcement action is pending, then all records pertaining to such action shall be retained until such action is resolved or the previously mentioned time period is met.

### 2. EPA

Copies of any written comments about the Division's program administration received by EPA from regulated persons, the

public, and Federal, State, and local agencies will be provided to the Supervisor within thirty (30) days of receipt.

3. Emergency Situations

Upon receipt of any information that any Class II injection operation is endangering human health or the environment and requires emergency response, the party in receipt of such information shall immediately notify by telephone the other party of the existence of such a situation.

C. Permits

Upon receipt by EPA, any Class II permit application and supporting information shall be immediately forwarded to the Division.

Some facilities and activities may require permits from the Division and EPA (and/or other State agencies) under different programs. When appropriate, the Division and EPA will participate in a joint permit processing procedure. The procedure will be developed on a case by case basis.

D. Compliance, Monitoring and Enforcement

1. Division

The Division shall adhere to the compliance monitoring, tracking, and evaluation program described in the 1425 Demonstration. The Division shall maintain a timely and effective compliance monitoring system including timely and appropriate actions on non-compliance.

2. EPA

EPA shall conduct periodic site and activity inspections on injection operations, giving priority to operations having the greatest potential to endanger public health.

EPA may participate with the Division in the inspection of wells or operator records. EPA shall notify the Division usually at least ten (10) days prior to any proposed inspection and shall describe the well(s) or record(s) to be inspected and the purpose of such inspection.

If the Division fails to take adequate enforcement action against a person violating the requirements for a Class II well, EPA may take Federal enforcement action. Federal enforcement actions will be in accordance with the State, facility and public notification procedures in Section 1423 of SDWA.

3. Emergency Situations

Situations endangering human health will receive immediate and paramount attention by the Division and EPA.

The party with initial knowledge of such situation shall immediately notify the other party by telephone.

E. Program Review and Evaluation

1. Division

The Division shall provide EPA with an annual report on the recent operation of the Class II program. Specific contents of the report are described in Attachment #1 and may be renegotiated from time to time. The period to be covered by the annual report shall be the calendar year ending December 31, with reports completed and available to EPA no more than 60 days later (March 1).

In addition, the Division shall provide a separate report of preventive actions taken by operators of new Class II wells. At minimum, this report shall include:

- a. the number and general type (e.g. injection pressure limit) of preventive actions proposed in the applications;
- b. the number and general type of preventive actions actually taken; and
- c. if necessary, a brief summary explaining the reason(s) for any differences between proposed and actual preventive actions (e.g., pending actions).

The report is due within 3 months after the second anniversary of the effective date of this Agreement. The final format will be negotiated at least 3 months prior to the due date.

If the Division proposes to allow any mechanical integrity tests other than those specified or justified in the 1425 Demonstration, the Division shall provide in advance to EPA sufficient information about the proposed test that a judgment about its usefulness and reliability can be made.

2. EPA

EPA shall conduct mid-year evaluations at least during the ~~first 2 years of the Division's operation of the program.~~ In part, the mid-year evaluations will be based on the reports provided above. At least 10 days prior to the evaluation, EPA shall notify the Division regarding the information, material, and program areas that will be covered. This may include selected permit files, budget records and public notification and complaint files. The evaluation may be conducted at either the Division's headquarters or one of its district offices.

## F. Public Participation

### 1. Division

The Division shall provide adequate public notice for its proposed actions as described in the Division's 1425 Demonstration.

Copies of such notices shall also be sent to:

- a. Director, Water Management Division, EPA-Region IX;
- b. Chairperson, State Water Resources Control Board; and
- c. Chairperson of the affected Regional Water Quality Control Board.

The Division's final decision on proposed actions shall contain a response to comments that summarizes the substantive comments received and the disposition of the comments. This shall become a part of that particular project file.

At a minimum, the Division shall apply these public participation procedures to applications for new underground injection projects, significant modifications to existing permits, and to aquifer exemptions.

### 2. EPA

EPA shall participate at any scheduled public hearing at the request of the Division. Such requests shall be made at least 10 days prior to the hearing.

Any appropriate comments on the proposed action shall be made by EPA within the normal fifteen day comment period. The exception is the designation of exempted aquifers (see the section on Aquifer Exemptions).

## G. Program Revision

A program revision may be necessary when the Division's or EPA's ~~statutory authority is modified or when there is a substantial~~ modification to the program. The procedure for revising the program shall be that described in 40 CFR 123.13(b).

## H. Aquifer Exemption

An Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW) may be exempted for the purposes of a Class II injection well if it meets the criteria in 40 CFR 146.04.

Aquifers exempted by the Division and EPA under this Agreement shall only be applicable for the injection of fluids related to Class II activities defined in 40 CFR 146.05(b).

Aquifer exemptions made subsequent to the effective date of this Agreement shall not be effective until it is approved by the Administrator or Regional Administrator (if delegated) in writing.

After the effective date of this Agreement, an aquifer exemption must be in effect prior to or concurrent with the issuance of a Class II permit for injection wells into that aquifer.

2. EPA

Within 10 days after receipt of the information on the aquifer(s) proposed by the Division for exemption, EPA shall notify the Division if any additional information is deemed appropriate. EPA shall either approve or disapprove the aquifer exemption within 60 days after receipt of all appropriate information. Any disapproval by EPA shall state the reasons for the decision. Requests for additional information and final determinations on aquifer exemptions shall be in written form.

If the new aquifer proposed for exemption is a non-hydrocarbon bearing USDW, EPA will coordinate its public participation activities on aquifer exemptions with the Division's public participation activities during project review.

I. Other Agency Involvement

The Division shall administer the Class II program and maintain close cooperation with California's State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and the Minerals Management Service.

J. Definitions

1. Class II well is defined in 40 CFR 146.05(b).
2. Aquifer is defined in 40 CFR 146.03 and 122.3.
3. Day in this Agreement is defined as a working day.
4. Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW) is defined in 40 CFR 146.03 and 122.3.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sonia F. Crow  
Regional Administrator  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Region IX

\_\_\_\_\_  
M.G. Mefferd  
State Oil and Gas Supervisor  
California Division of Oil and Gas

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date